

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/599,158	06/21/2000	Jacob Richter	. 2390/47503	6935
26646	7590 03/12/2003			
KENYON & KENYON			EXAMINER	
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004			BLANCO, JAVIER G	
NEW TORK	., 141 10004		<u></u>	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	_
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/599,158	RICHTER, JACOB				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Javier G. Blanco	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by str - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>16 December 2002</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 54-69 is/are pending in the applic	cation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>54-69</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required i						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	orione and address and an SELLC C	\$ 110(a) (d) or (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 0.5.C.	9 119(a)-(d) of (i).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	to be an appaired					
1. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa* See the attached detailed Office action for a	il Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))					
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	e provisional application has nestic priority under 35 U.S.C	been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) 5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/599,158

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's cancellation of claims 48 and 51-53 in Paper No. 10 is acknowledged.

Drawings

2. This application has been filed with informal drawings, which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 62 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 14, "to a next adjacent row" is redundant. Examiner suggests -- to an adjacent row --. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 54-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding claim 54, the limitation "a first flexible connector disposed between the first member and the third member" (see claim 54, line 10) is vague and indefinite. According to the specification (see for example Figure 2), the first flexible connector 8 is located between first member 4 and second member 5.

Application/Control Number: 09/599,158

Art Unit: 3738

6. Claims 65-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding claims 65 and 68, the limitation "structures extending generally in the longitudinal direction" (see for example lines 3-4 and lines 11-12) is vague and indefinite.

According to the specification (see for example Figure 2), flexible connectors 8 and 9 extend circumferentially, not longitudinally.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

8. Claims 58, 59, 60, 61, and 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Simon et al. (US 5,354,308). As seen in Figures 3 and 4, Simon et al. disclose an

Application/Control Number: 09/599,158 Page 4

Art Unit: 3738

expandable stent comprising a plurality of interconnected cells, wherein the cells (polygonal cells 18 + fingers 16) disposed at the ends of the stent are adapted to exert greater radial force and are more flexible than the cells (elongated cells 20) at the center of the stent (see column 3, lines 35-42 and lines 51-60). It should be noted that distal-most end and the proximal-most end of the stent include finger projections (16) which render these cells more flexible than the cells adjacent to these cells, insofar as the finger projections serve to elongate and narrow the cell and therefore allow these end-most cells to be more easily bendable than the shorter, more uniform cells adjacent to them.

9. Claims 54-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Kanesaka et al. (US 5,776,183 A). As seen in Figures 1-3, Kanesaka et al. disclose the claimed subject matter set forth in claims 54-69. It should be noted that the rows of cells located at the distal and proximal ends of the stent comprise struts 9 and 10, which are shorter than elongated struts 3 and 4. This provides for cells with greater radial force and more flexibility than the cells located in the central section (see column 2, lines 38-64; column 4, lines 3-62; column 5, lines 18-28).

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Brown et al. (US 2002/0007212 A1).

Art Unit: 3738

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Javier G. Blanco whose telephone number is 703-605-4259. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00 a.m.-4:30 p.m.), first Friday of the bi-week off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

IGR

March 8, 2003

Ďavid H. Willse Primary Examiner